(Trial resumed; jury not present)

2.3

THE COURT: I am going to bring the jurors out. I am going to say the following to the jurors: Ladies and gentlemen, we are working on your note. There is a problem with your note that we are having because of your use of the verb work. If by the word work you mean employed, there is no testimony that's responsive to your note. If on the other hand you are using the word differently, the parties are afraid that by sending back testimony it may indicate that I, the judge, have a particular view of the evidence, and I am going to ask you to recraft that note. If you want to know about the dealings between Raymond Williams and Mr. Correa and the doctor when he was in the Bronx, please say that. If you want to know about something else, please say that. And then I will understand your note.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Good morning to you. Top of the morning to you.

I have your note and I'm having a problem with the note. The note, which is Court Exhibit 1, says: We need the copy of the transcript by Abraham Correa referring to Correa and Ray Williams having worked with Dr. Mirilishvili in the Bronx before moving to Manhattan.

This note has been giving me fits and the reason it has been giving me fits is that it's very important, and the

13 14

worked. That will clarify it for me and it will save me a

whole lot more agonizing, which I have been doing for way too

long. I have decided to put it back to you. Good morning and

18 send me out a revised note.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Jury deliberations resumed; time noted: 9:50 a.m.)

MR. DISKANT: Your Honor, it might be helpful to send them their old note back.

THE COURT: I think they are fine. I think they are just fine.

I am still going through your suggestions and I am going through your suggestions with kind of my own personal

MR. MAZUREK: Yes, your Honor.

24

25

polled?

THE COURT: Would you poll the jurors, Mr. O'Neil.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes.

Jurors, harken to your verdict as it stands recorded. You say through your foreperson that you find the defendant, Moishe Mirilishvili, guilty of Count One of the indictment, alleging that in or about January 2012 through on or about December 2014, he conspired to unlawfully distribute a controlled substance; and that on Count Two of the indictment that on January 10, 2013, he unlawfully distributed a controlled substance; and on Count Three, that or on about October 28, 2014, he unlawfully distributed a controlled substance.

(Jury polled; each juror answered in the affirmative)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we have reached the moment when it's time to part.

At this moment I harken back many years to the practice of a judge who, for those of us who sit on this court is like a God, truly one of the finest judges ever to sit on any court of the United States. His name was Edward Weinfeld. And Judge Weinfeld is someone that all of us who sit on this court strive to emulate in practically every way because of his brilliance and scholarship and his devotion to the job, his command in the courtroom, and his dealings with the lawyers was everything you would want and hope a judge would be. And I'm a big fan of Judge Weinfeld's. I actually appeared before him

when I was a lawyer and it was a really amazing experience for me.

But there is one thing that he did, and he was famous for doing it, that I can't imitate. When this moment came, the moment to discharge the jurors, he said to them, ladies and gentlemen, I suppose you are expecting thanks. You will wait in vain for thanks from me because you, like I, must take your satisfaction in knowing that you have discharged your obligation to the best of your ability. There is a kernel of truth in that. That is how we take our satisfaction in the justice system.

But the fact is, I can't not thank you because you and I know that there were lots of people who showed up in the jury room on that day. And I must say, we had an extraordinary, an extraordinary voir dire where practically nobody made up some lame excuse to try to get out of serving. It was really an extraordinary day. It's one of the reasons I will never forget that voir dire. There were plenty of people who got the same jury notice you got and threw it in the thrash or called for their 15th postponement, and there are people who do try one way or another to get off jury service. But not you. You understood what your duty was, you were willing to be called upon if asked, and you've taken two weeks out of your busy lives to resolve a matter involving people you do not know and will never see again and to which you have no connection except

All his family is here. His two daughters and his wife

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. DISKANT: The other issues raised by Mr. Mazurek, just under the statute, are not relevant. He has to establish that there is a likelihood, a substantial likelihood of motion for acquittal or new trial. And I think as the Court just indicated --

THE COURT: That was my understanding. I just wanted